

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/721,101	HILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P. Mehra	2666	

**All Participants:**

(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(2) Craig A. Hoersten, Attorney, Regd. No. 38,917.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*Claims 37 and 48*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*As set in Examiner, amendment, see current office action*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Inder Pal Mehra 6/18/05*

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)